

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,186	AHLHEIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	1654	

All Participants:

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Ms. Lydia McNally.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

13, 14, 16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mr. Markus Gruber.

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. McNally was contacted with a proposed amendment to claims to place the application in condition for allowance. After discussing the amendments, with McNally, Mr. Gruber called from the European branch of the Novartis and discussed the amendments and agreed to the proposed amendments. .